

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/658,093 09/09/2003 John Daly DAVI125.001CP1 9969 20995 7590 07/07/2005 **EXAMINER** KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP MARVICH, MARIA 2040 MAIN STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614 1633

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/658,093	DALY, JOHN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Maria B. Marvich, PhD	1636		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
3				
	Maria B. Marvich, PhD	1636		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires and (1) the mailing data of this Adv.		- 6	:	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) Mill will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>68</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>26,37,58,98,100 and 101</u> .				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>23-25,27,28,30-36,38-42,44,46-57,85-97,99 and 102.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)13. Other:				

5.00

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 23 and 68 are directed to constructs that comprise a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide having an intracellular half-life of less than 3 hours, a nucleic acid encoding a RNA element that modulates the stability of the transcript. New claims 107-145 that depend from claim 68 change the scope of the claimed invention and necessitates further search and consideration. The dependent claims have been searched in reference to claim 23 however not in reference to claim 68. Claim 68 and claim 23 differ in that claim 23 is not operably linked to a promoter whereas claim 68 is not so limited.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the amendment has not been entered. But should the amendment have been entered, the rejections under 35 USC 103 (a) of claims 23-25, 27, 28, 30-36, 38-42, 46-57, 85-97, 99 and 102 would have been overcome.

JAMES KETTER PRIMARY EXAMINER

, C.